

1 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
2 A Limited Liability Partnership
3 Including Professional Corporations
4 NEIL A. SMITH, Cal. Bar No. 63777
5 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
6 San Francisco, California 94111-4106
7 Telephone: 415-434-9100
8 Facsimile: 415-434-3947
9
10 Attorneys for Defendants
11 Quantel Inc. and Quantel Limited

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBELLE SOLUTIONS
TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Canadian
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

QUANTEL INC., a U.S. corporation, and
QUANTEL LIMITED, a foreign
corporation, RETINA, a California
corporation, DOES 1 through 10,

Defendants.

Case No. C05-01612 JL

**THIRD REQUEST FOR STIPULATED
EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER
OR OTHERWISE PLEAD IN
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Robelle Solutions Technology, Inc., and Defendants Quantel Inc. and Quantel Limited, by their counsel, hereby stipulate that Defendants shall have an extension of time to January 13, 2005, to answer or otherwise plead, in response to the complaint of plaintiff.

This is the third extension of time sought by Defendants, and is not for the purpose of delay, but in order to give Defendants' counsel the opportunity to review the complaint, and for the parties to discuss settlement of the case, before an answer is due.

1 The parties are actively discussing settlement of this case, even with holiday intervention
2 and have exchanged draft settlement proposals. Defendant Quantel Limited was only
3 recently served, and, as noted, the parties have been actively discussing a resolution of this
4 dispute.

5

6 DATED: December 29, 2005

7 Respectfully submitted,

8 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

10 By /ss/

11 NEIL A. SMITH

12 Attorneys for Defendants
13 Quantel Inc. and Quantel Limited

14 ///

15 ///

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Plaintiff consents to the request for extension.

2 DATED: December 29, 2005


3 MULLEN LAW FIRM, P.C.

4 KARL I. MULLEN

5 HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

6
7 By _____ /ss/
8 CHUNG-HAN LEE

9
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff Robelle Solutions
11 Technology Inc.



12
13
14 SO ORDERED:
15 DATED: 1/9/06 By _____
16
17 Magistrate Judge, United States District Court
18 Northern District of California
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28